Stutzman

Thompson (MS)

Terry

Tipton

Turner

Upton

Veasev

Wagner

Walberg

Walorski

Weber (TX)

Webster (FL)

Westmoreland

Whitfield

Williams

Wittman

Womack

Woodall

Young (AK)

Young (IN)

Yoder

Yoho

Wilson (SC)

Walz.

Vela

Valadao

Roe (TN)

McGovern McKeon

Miller, Gary Pavne

Perlmutter Smith (WA)

\sqcap 1242

Messrs. STUTZMAN, THOMPSON of Pennsylvania, GARDNER, ROSS of Florida, GRIFFIN of Arkansas, HUD-SON, PERRY, YOUNG of Alaska, LAMALFA, Mrs. BACHMANN, and Mr. ROSKAM changed their vote from 'yea'' to ''nay.

ADAMS, HAHN, Messrs. Ms. HOLT, CÁRDENAS, Mrs. BEATTY, Ms. CLARKE of New York, Messrs. BRALEY of Iowa and SCHIFF changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to recommit was reiected

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—aves 252, noes 161. answered "present" 1, not voting 20, as follows:

[Roll No. 519] AYES-252

DeSantis

Des Jarlais

Amodei Bachmann Bachus Barr Barrow (GA) Benishek Bentivolio Bilirakis Bishop (GA) Bishop (UT) Black Blackburn Boustany Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Brat

Aderholt

Diaz-Balart Dovle Duffy Duncan (SC) Duncan (TN) Ellmers Farenthold Fincher Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fleming Flores Forbes Fortenberry Foxx Bridenstine Franks (AZ) Brooks (AL) Frelinghuvsen Brooks (IN) Gardner Broun (GA) Garrett Gibbs Buchanan Bucshon Gibson Burgess Gingrey (GA) Byrne Gohmert Calvert Goodlatte Camp Gosar Gowdy Capito Carter Granger Graves (GA) Cassidy Chabot Graves (MO) Chaffetz Green, Al Clawson (FL) Green, Gene Clyburn Griffin (AR) Coffman Griffith (VA) Cole Grimm Collins (GA) Guthrie Collins (NY) Hanna Conaway Harper Cook Harris Cooper Hartzler Hastings (WA) Cotton Cramer Heck (NV) Crawford Hensarling Herrera Beutler Crenshaw Cuellar Hinojosa Culberson Holding Hudson

Daines

Denham

Dent

Davis, Rodney

Hunter Hurt Issa Jackson Lee Jenkins Johnson (OH) Johnson, Sam Jolly Jordan Jovce Kelly (PA) King (IA) King (NY) Kingston Kinzinger (IL) Kline Labrador LaMalfa Lamborn Lance Lankford Latham Latta Lipinski LoBiondo Loebsack Long Lucas Luetkemeyer Lummis Maloney, Sean Marchant Marino Massie Matheson

McAllister

McClintock

McCaul

McHenry

McIntyre

McKinley

McMorris

Meadows

Meehan

Messer

Mica

Huelskamp

Hultgren

Huizenga (MI)

Rodgers

Miller (FL)

Miller (MI)

McCarthy (CA)

McCarthy (NY)

Mullin Mulvaney Murphy (FL) Murphy (PA) Neugebauer Noem Nolan Norcross Nugent Nunes Nunnelee Olson Owens Palazzo Paulsen Pearce Perry Peterson Petri Pittenger Pitts Poe (TX) Pompeo Posev

Price (GA)

Rahall

Reichert

Renacci

Rice (SC)

Richmond

Ribble

Rigell

Adams

Barber

Beatty

Becerra

Bera (CA)

Bonamici

Braley (IA)

Brown (FL)

Butterfield

Capps

Capuano

Cárdenas

Carson (IN)

Cartwright

Castor (FL)

Castro (TX)

Clark (MA)

Clarke (NY)

Chu Cicilline

Clay

Cleaver

Connolly

Conyers

Courtney

Crowley

DeFazio

DeGette

Delaney

DeLauro

DelBene

Deutch

Dingell

Doggett

Edwards

Ellison

Engel

Eshoo

Esty

Farr

Fattah

Foster

Fudge

Gabbard

Gallego

Garcia

Garamendi

Cummings

Davis (CA)

Cohen

Carney

Bishop (NY

Bass

Roby

Reed

Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rogers (MI) Thompson (PA) Rohrabacher Thornberry Rokita. Tiberi Rooney Roskam Ross Rothfus Royce Runyan Rvan (WI) Salmon Sanford Walden Scalise Schock Schweikert Scott, Austin Scott, David Sensenbrenner Wenstrup Sessions Sewell (AL) Shimkus Shuster Simpson Sires Wolf Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Southerland Stewart Stivers

NOES-161

Grayson Neal Negrete McLeod Grijalva Gutiérrez O'Rourke Hahn Pallone Hanabusa Pascrell Hastings (FL) Pastor (AZ) Heck (WA) Pelosi Higgins Peters (CA) Himes Peters (MI) Holt Pingree (ME) Brownley (CA) Honda Pocan Horsford Polis Hover Price (NC) Huffman Quigley Israel Rangel Jeffries Roybal-Allard Johnson (GA) Ruiz Johnson, E. B. Ruppersberger Kaptur Rush Keating Ryan (OH) Kelly (IL) Sánchez, Linda Kennedy Т. Kildee Sanchez, Loretta Kilmer Sarbanes Kind Schakowsky Kirkpatrick Schiff Kuster Schneider Langevin Schrader Larsen (WA) Schwartz Larson (CT) Scott (VA) Lee (CA) Serrano Levin Shea-Porter Lewis Davis, Danny Sherman Lofgren Sinema Lowenthal Slaughter Lowey Lujan Grisham Speier Swalwell (CA) (NM) Takano Luián. Ben Ray Thompson (CA) (NM) Tierney Lynch Titus Maffei Maloney, Tonko Tsongas Carolyn Van Hollen Matsui McCollum Vargas Velázquez McDermott Visclosky McNerney Meeks Wasserman Schultz Meng Frankel (FL) Waters Michaud Miller, George Waxman Moore Welch

ANSWERED "PRESENT-1" Amash

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-20

Barletta Blumenauer Campbell Coble Bustos Barton

Moran

Nadler

Napolitano

Duckworth Enyart Gerlach

Jones McGovern McKeon Miller, Gary Payne

Perlmutter Ros-Lehtinen Smith (WA) Stockman

□ 1252

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mrs. BUSTOS. Mr. Speaker, on the Legislative Day of November 14, 2014, a series of votes was held. I intended to cast the following vote: rollcall 519-I vote "yea."

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I yield to the majority leader, Mr. McCarthy, for the purpose of inquiring of the schedule for the week to come.

I want to, first, congratulate the majority leader on his reelection. I want to congratulate him on the expansion of his majority—not something that I was seeking, but it is the reality. I know that all of us look forward to moving forward and to, hopefully, having a degree of cooperation that will respond to what, clearly, the American people want, and that is the two sides to be working together to make their country better and more successful.

And so I want to congratulate the majority leader, and at this point in time I will yield to the gentleman for the purpose of telling us what the schedule is for the week to come.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I appreciate your comments.

Mr. Speaker, on Monday, the House will meet at noon for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Votes will be postponed until 6:30 p.m. On Tuesday and Wednesday, the House will meet at 10 a.m. for morning hour and noon for legislative business. On Thursday, the House will meet at 9 a.m. for legislative business. Last votes of the week are expected no later than 3 p.m. On Friday, no votes are expected.

Mr. Speaker, the House will consider a number of suspensions next week. A complete list will be announced by close of business today.

In addition, the House will consider three bills aimed at alleviating burdens imposed on small businesses by EPA practices. The first, H.R. 1422, the EPA Science Advisory Board Reform Act, sponsored by Representative Chris STEWART, would establish board member qualifications. The second, H.R. 4012, the Secret Science Reform Act, sponsored by Representative DAVID SCHWEIKERT, would prohibit EPA from finalizing a regulation unless the data is made public. The third, H.R. 4795, Promoting New Manufacturing Act, sponsored by our majority whip, STEVE SCALISE, will bring much-needed

transparency to the EPA permitting process.

I thank the gentleman.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the majority leader for that information, and we will expect to be considering those pieces of legislation.

The majority leader has not mentioned, of course, four bills that I know the majority leader is focused on and are very important.

\sqcap 1300

Are we still, Mr. Majority Leader, expecting to end this session of the Congress on the 11th of December?

Mr. McCARTHY of California. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HOYER. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. Yes, it is our intention. I do understand that government is only funded until the 11th, and it is our intention to continue to work with you and all Members and have that finished by December 11 and be out.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman for that observation.

Let me say, Mr. Majority Leader, I know there has been discussion on your side and my side of the aisle. I know Mrs. Lowey is working with Mr. Rogers to effect an omnibus appropriations bill.

As we all know, not a single appropriations bill has been adopted. We are operating under a continuing resolution. That is not giving the stability that we need to give to the agencies to know what resources they have to accomplish the objectives we expect.

Can the gentleman tell me whether or not his expectation is we will proceed with an omnibus out of the Appropriations Committee before December 11?

It is not on the schedule for next week. My presumption it would be, therefore, hopefully on the schedule for the first week in December when we get back so that we can send it to the Senate to be adopted.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding. The gentleman is right. We did pass seven of the 12 and 11 of the 12 out of committee. Unfortunately, the Senate had passed none.

The best way for this House and this country to work is through regular order, and we hope to be able to get that back with the new Senate.

The gentleman is right. It is not scheduled for next week. No decisions are made, but there is a possibility that we could end on an omnibus in December.

Mr. HOYER. Is it the expectation of the Majority Leader that we would be considering an omnibus so that we would do the 12 appropriations bills in a single bill?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. No decisions are made on the direction that we will go. The gentleman knows that

we were down at the White House just last week meeting with the President. I do believe the best way forward is that we would all work together and move this country in the right direction. So no decisions are made on the direction that we go.

Mr. HOYER. Well, I would say, on our side, we agree with Mr. Rogers and Senator McConnell, who did indicate early on that an omnibus would be the preferable alternative.

The problem, as the gentleman well knows, with the CR, it gives a very limited ability of agencies, particularly the Department of Defense, at a critical time, to plan as they would like. General Dempsey and General Odierno and others have mentioned that, so I am hopeful that we will be able to work together to accomplish that objective.

There are three others. There is no mention of a tax extenders bill. As the gentleman knows, the Senate is addressing that.

Does the gentleman have any idea, again, given the fact that we have essentially 3 weeks left to go and a few days, and cooperation is going to be essential if we get our work done, where we are going on tax extenders?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I had just met with our chairman of Ways and Means and he has been working in the other House with the chair on the Senate, and nothing has been finalized yet.

As you know, we had passed many of those bills permanently out of this House. Negotiations are still going on with the Senate, but it is our intention to have that done before the end of the year as well.

Mr. HOYER. Given that intention, can the Majority Leader tell us whether or not there is an inclination—the Senate, as you know, had a different approach. They did a limited extension, not permanent extensions, and they dealt with all of the extenders, and they had a 2-year extension, as you know.

Whether or not we are looking at doing something temporarily while we prepare for what I think both sides think are necessary, and that is a major tax reform bill at some point in time in the spring or the summer, can the gentleman tell me whether there is that component of the consideration of the tax extenders legislation?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman again. As the gentleman stated, yes, coming out of the House we made it permanent because that gives much greater stability to the country, and that is still part of the negotiation.

The Senate has a different idea than inside the House. None of that has been finalized yet. When the negotiation gets finalized, that will give us the answer.

Mr. HOYER. I would thank the gentleman and urge him that we get to

agreement pretty soon because if we are going to give some certainty to the business community, as well as individuals, we need to act on that, and we have some approximately maybe 10 days, if we count 3 days for each one of the weeks that is remaining, 10 days in order to accomplish that through the House and the Senate. So it is necessary, I suggest respectfully to the Majority Leader, that we come to agreement on that fairly soon if we are going to have the ability to pass and send to the President that legislation.

Thirdly, the third of the items, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, which Mr. Hensarling just wrote an op-ed about. I know that the committee has acted or is contemplating action on that.

Can the gentleman tell me whether or not TRIA—and I know there has been some discussion about including it in the omnibus. I don't know whether that is rumor or fact that it is being considered. But can the gentleman tell me where we are on the Terrorism Risk Insurance?

As the gentleman knows, it passed 93-4 in the United States Senate, so it was not a partisan bill in the Senate, overwhelming support for it, and I would hope that we could move it through the House in a similar bipartisan, overwhelming fashion.

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding. I have just met with our chairman and he is scheduled to meet with the Senate on the other side of the aisle early next week.

The Speaker and I have both put a statement out that we know the timeline of this. If they can't come to agreement, we are open to doing a 1-year extension, making sure that it doesn't have any problems. But we would like to see a resolution of this with a negotiation between both sides.

Mr. HOYER. The Senate bill, of course, is 7 years, as the gentleman knows, and that gives a certain degree of certainty to the lenders and borrowers and those who do business with both lenders and borrowers and in the construction industry.

I am hopeful that we could come to an agreement that is longer term so we could give more confidence. I think that would be in the best interest and, very frankly, I think would enjoy bipartisan significant support in this House, Mr. Majority Leader.

Lastly, the National Defense Act, authorization bill, which has passed this House, as you know, is pending in the Senate. Does the gentleman have any knowledge as to where that stands now and what possibilities there are to assure its adoption prior to the 11th of December?

I yield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding. The gentleman is correct. We have passed it in the House and we have been working with the Senate. I think it is very

strong that we will get this done before December 11. I don't have a set date, but I believe that this is a top priority, not just on this side, but on your side of the aisle as well. We just need a little movement on the Senate and we will be done with it.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman.

Given that expectation of moving on it, does the gentleman expect or know whether or not we will deal with the "train and equip" authorization within the framework of the National Defense Authorization Act, or perhaps the omnibus or some other piece of legislation?

I vield to my friend.

Mr. McCARTHY of California. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

You were with us at the White House when the President laid out the supplemental. The Appropriations Committee is going through all the funding there. No decisions have been made yet where that would move forward, but it is our intention to be able to have that question answered but be able to have the resources needed to do the job.

Mr. HOYER. I thank the gentleman. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

APPOINTING THE DAY FOR THE CONVENING OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS

Mr. McCARTHY of California. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a joint resolution (H.J. Res. 129) appointing the day for the convening of the first session of the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress, and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the joint resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The text of the joint resolution is as follows:

H.J. RES. 129

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the first regular session of the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress shall begin at noon on Tuesday, January 6, 2015.

The joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PROVIDING FOR THE PRINTING OF A REVISED EDITION OF THE RULES AND MANUAL OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE ONE HUNDRED FOUR-TEENTH CONGRESS

Mr. McCARTHY of California. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a resolution (H. Res. 753) providing for the printing of a revised edition of the Rules and Manual of the House of Rep-

resentatives for the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 753

Resolved, That a revised edition of the Rules and Manual of the House of Representatives for the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress be printed as a House document, and that three thousand additional copies shall be printed and bound for the use of the House of Representatives, of which nine hundred sixty copies shall be bound in leather with thumb index and delivered as may be directed by the Parliamentarian of the House.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2014

Mr. McCARTHY of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet on Monday, November 17, 2014, when it shall convene at noon for morning-hour debate and 2 p.m. for legislative business.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

RECOGNIZING THE ACCOMPLISH-MENT OF EAGLE SCOUT JAMES WHITE

(Mr. FITZPATRICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.) $\,$

Mr. FITZPATRICK. Mr. Speaker, the Eagle Scout award, the highest honor in the Boy Scouts of America, is widely recognized as a great accomplishment in the life of a young man. Only a small percentage, about 2 or 3 percent, of all the young men who join and participate in the programs of the Boy Scouts are able to achieve it.

This weekend, in my district, James White will become our Nation's newest Eagle, and he is the third son of Dave and Ann White of Bucks County, Pennsylvania, to have been awarded scouting's highest honor.

As an Eagle, James has lived up to the principles of the Scout Oath and Law and has demonstrated the type of citizenship and leadership that I believe is so vital to the future of our Nation.

When he becomes an Eagle Scout, James will be standing with World War II combat veteran George Gieda, who James accompanied on an Honor Flight of veterans here to the Capitol 2 years ago. They became friends; they have stayed in touch; they have provided inspiration to each other.

Because I can't be with the White family this weekend, I wanted the

RECORD to reflect my congratulations, my hope that James' future will be filled with many more significant and meaningful achievements, and my belief and reflection that we need more young men like Eagle Scout James White

RULE FOR THE WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

(Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, today marks the closing of the comment period on the rule for the Waters of the United States under the Clean Water Act that has been proposed by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Since the comment period opened, which was April 24 of this year, land-owners, States, counties, and groups representing a diverse range of both economic and environmental stakeholders from across the country have filed more than a quarter million comments on a rule that threatens both our economy and the ability of States to effectively manage water quality.

Through a strong State-Federal regulatory partnership that provides adequate flexibility to address water quality while accounting for local and regional variations and conditions, Pennsylvania has demonstrated a successful track record of improving and protecting the ecological health of its waters. Unfortunately, this new Federal policy poses a direct threat to the longstanding federalist approach empowered within the Clean Water Act.

Today, Members of the Pennsylvania congressional delegation will join the thousands of other concerned citizens in opposing this flawed policy.

FEDERAL FOOD POLICE

(Mr. POE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the Federal food police now control what American children are permitted to eat in public schools. The First Lady has said, "We just can't leave it up to the parents."

Several things are wrong about this totalitarian control of children. Kids say the portions are so small or the food is so disliked they must smuggle food from home or just go hungry. This leads to the Federal Government food being wasted and thrown away at the end of the day.

What is next?

Are the Federal food bureaucrats going to force-feed schoolchildren with government food?

It should not be the role of Washington and the Federal Government to control what children eat. That is the responsibility of parents.